

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,067	10/30/2000	Richard A. Vanderwal	2400.1001-003	8316
21005 7:	590 09/03/2003			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER	
			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
	A 01742-9133		Γ	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ر.	2. ¥		iw			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/702,067	VANDERWAL, RICHARD A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leigh McKane	1744			
Period fo	- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖾	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🗆 🗆			• •			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, priority annual of Cicion 3 (10(a	, (4) 21 (1).			
,-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
* S	application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	eau-(PCT-Rule-1-7-2(a))				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.					
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Datent and Tr	adamad Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/702,067

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 1, "the knife edges" lack positive antecedent basis, as no "knife edges" have been recited in either of claims 7 or 8.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10, 15-18, 22, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,139,793 in view of Glazer et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,582,793).

The subject matter of the instant application is entirely encompassed by claims 1-10, 15-18, 22, and 23 of Vanderwal except for the specific limitations relating to the protrusions on the

one control 1 tumber: 057 7 02,

wall of the pressure vessel. For example, see claim 6 of the instant application wherein it is

recited "a plurality of protrusions carried on the wall of the pressure vessel". Such protrusions

are not claimed by Vanderwal. However, Glazer et al discloses a similar method of treating

waste material wherein vessel 204 includes protrusions 346, 356 which interact with paddles

328, 330 to enhance the cutting efficiency of the paddles. See col.1, lines 38-62 and col.12, lines

6-11. For this reason, it would have been obvious to provide protrusions on the interior of the

pressure vessel of Vanderwal to interact with the knife edged paddles.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 703-305-3387. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

eigh McKane

Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 1744

elm

2 September 2003